

Exploring Attachment Security in a Sample of Lebanese Adolescents: The validation of the Arabic IPPA-R

Pia Tohme, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor of Clinical Psychology
Department of Social and education Sciences
School of Arts and Sciences

Lebanese American University
Tel. 00 961 3 79 13 14 Ext: 1079

pia.tohme@lau.edu.lb

ORCID: 0000-0002-6608-832X

Nour Yaktine, MA

PhD Candidate

Department of Psychology
Saint Joseph University of Beirut

nour.yaktine@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0002-9880-7835

Elma Nassar, Ph.D.

Postdoctoral Research Fellow
Graduate Studies and Research Office
School of Arts and Sciences

Lebanese American University

Tel. 00 961 3 79 13 14

elma.nassar@lau.edu.lb

Karim Badr, Msc.

Research Associate

SHL

Thames Ditton, United Kingdom

Email: karim.badr@shl.com

Tel: +447741191316

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2771-9619>

Ian Grey, Ph.D.

Associate Professor of Psychology
Department of Cognitive Sciences

United Arab Emirates University

ian.grey@uaeu.ac.ae

ORCID: 0000-0001-9773-2539

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

Rudy Abi-Habib, Ph.D. (corresponding author)
Associate Professor of Clinical Psychology
Department of Social and Education Sciences
School of Arts and Sciences
Lebanese American University
Tel. 00 961 3 79 13 14 Ext: 2733
rudy.abihabib@lau.edu.lb
ORCID: 0000-0002-6387-0228

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all research assistants for their help in data collection. We also appreciate the generosity of all the schools, public and private, who agreed to participate in this study, and students who took the time to fill out the questionnaires.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, RAH, the First author, PT, or the Institutional Review Board irb@lau.edu.lb upon reasonable request.

- The data set is based on the responses of adolescents between the ages of 12 and 17 that are considered vulnerable population by the Institutional Review Board.
- The restriction to access the data is ethical.
- Restriction is imposed by the Institutional Review Board (IRB).
- IRB email: irb@lau.edu.lb

Abstract

Despite growing evidence supporting the importance of the quality of attachment during adolescence, no studies have been conducted to date in the Arab world due to an absence of valid and reliable tools to measure this construct in Arabic. The Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment – Revised (IPPA-R) was devised as a self-report questionnaire in English to assess the quality of adolescent attachment to mother, father, and peers, each scale consisting of 25 items. The current study sets out to determine the psychometric properties of the Arabic IPPA-R and to explore attachment styles in Lebanon in a sample of 765 Lebanese adolescents. Results suggested a modified three-factor structure to reach satisfactory reliability of the Arabic IPPA-R, resulting in a modified questionnaire consisting of 19 items for each of mother ($\alpha = .82$) and father ($\alpha = .85$), and 21 items for peers ($\alpha = .89$). Strict measurement invariance across gender was achieved for the IPPA-R parental forms, while only scalar invariance was achieved for the IPPA-R peers form. Overall, there were significant differences in attachment scores to mother and father, with adolescents scoring higher on attachment to mother, with both scores being significantly lower than attachment to peers. Gender differences were found on the peer scale with girls scoring significantly higher than boys. Results are interpreted from a cultural lens, emphasizing the importance of accounting for cultural, religious, and socio-economic factors in understanding adolescent attachment. This study is the first conducted in the Arab region and provides a road map to understanding gender-roles, parental expectations and adolescent perceived parenting, and their impact on adolescent attachment scores.

Keywords: Attachment, Lebanon, adolescence, Arabic IPPA-R, validation.

Up until the 1990s, attachment research primarily focused on the early mother-infant relationship, namely the role of maternal sensitivity on infant secure-base behavior and the future competence of secure and insecure infants. Few studies investigated this relationship into middle childhood or adolescence, partly due to a lack of valid tools measuring this construct, taking into account changes occurring during these subsequent stages of development (Kerns, 2008). More specifically, cognitive and emotional abilities mature, and children's representations of caregivers become more complex, allowing for the integration of attachment representations in relation to each attachment figure into an overarching model of attachment, including peers (Allen & Land, 1999; Bowlby, 1969; Main et al., 1985; Mayseless, 2005).

Armsden and Greenberg (1987) devised the IPPA, a self-report questionnaire assessing attachment to mother, father, and peers. It provides an overall attachment score for each relationship, as well as scores on three subscales: a) Trust, the degree to which the adolescent trusts the parent/peer in being available to meet their emotional needs and the extent to which they feel parents/peers trust them, b) Communication, the adolescent's ease in discussing emotional issues and concerns with parents/peers, and c) Alienation and Anger, the perception of loneliness and not belonging to the family/peer group. A subsequent revised version (IPPA-R) was created, initially validated on a sample of participants between 16 and 20 years of age, but later observed to be valid with adolescents as young as 12 (Gullone & Robinson, 2005).

The IPPA-R has proven to differentiate between attachment relationships to various attachment figures, discriminating the role each plays in promoting positive interactions with others, psychological adjustment, and maintaining relationships across the lifespan (Gorrese & Ruggieri, 2012). In fact, according to a systematic review exploring measurements of

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

attachment of middle childhood and adolescence the IPPA-R was shown to have the best psychometric properties (Jewell et al., 2019). The IPPA and IPPA-R have been translated and adapted into various languages and validated in several countries including Pakistan, China, Turkey, Peru, Cyprus, and Spain. However, findings of these studies are inconsistent, with some suggesting a one-factor structure, others suggesting either a two-factor structure, some confirming the original three-factor structure, or others suggesting a new three-factor structure.

In Pakistan, the factor structure of the IPPA-R was measured using a CFA. The authors measured a one-factor model, with an overall attachment security score; a two-factor model with trust and communication merged together into one factor, and alienation constituting the second factor; and finally, the original three-factor model with trust, communication, and alienation constituting different factors. Item loadings were comparable for the two and three-factor models, and both models had acceptable fit indices. However, given the high correlation between the three factors in the original three-factor model, the authors concluded that a two-factor model would be more suitable, in order to better be able to discriminate between the dimensions of the scale (Aasma et al., 2020).

Other studies confirmed the validity of the original three-factor structure. In the Peruvian validation study of the IPPA-R, CFA results showed that the original three-factor structure had acceptable fit indices (Yearwood et al., 2018). More recently, the psychometric properties of the IPPA were measured in a clinical and non-clinical sample of Cypriot adolescents (Demetriou et al., 2022). Results showed that the IPPA had good psychometric properties, with Cronbach alphas ranging from good to excellent, with $\alpha = .88$ for parental trust, $\alpha = .84$ for parental communication, $\alpha = .82$ for parental alienation, $\alpha = .90$ for peer trust, $\alpha = .89$ for peer communication, and $\alpha = .74$ for peer alienation. Moreover, their CFA results also confirmed that the original three-factor structure would indeed be suitable for the scale

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

(Demetriou et al., 2022). In Turkey, however, a new three-factor model was suggested. Kocayörük (2010) first evaluated the original three-factor model using a CFA but found poor fit indices. Thus, after running an EFA, a new structure emerged, with a shorter version of the scale. Finally, some authors have suggested a one-factor structure for the IPPA scale. In their study, Gallarin and Alonso-Arbiol (2013) adapted the IPPA to Spanish and examined its factor structure. Unlike the previous studies mentioned above, the principal component analysis yielded a one-dimensional structure for the IPPA, suggesting that attachment security towards parents and peers could be measured as a whole, without having dimensions for trust, communication, and alienation. Given the inconclusive results regarding the cross-cultural validity of the original three-factor structure of the IPPA, this paper seeks to fill this gap by validating the Arabic IPPA-R in the Lebanese population.

Attachment to parents and gender differences

Some studies have suggested that adolescents tend to be more securely attached to mothers than fathers (Doyle et al. 2009; Freeman & Brown, 2001; Rosenthal & Kobak, 2010), with the former being used as a secure base, measured in some studies by the adolescent's perception of availability and responsiveness (Duemmler & Kobak, 2001; Rosenthal & Kobak, 2010). Early research highlighted that each parent affects different aspects of the adolescent's life (Freeman & Brown, 2001; Haigler et al., 1995; Steinberg & Silk, 2002). However, studies comparing mother-adolescent and father-adolescent attachment relationships have yielded somewhat inconsistent results. On the one hand, a meta-analysis by van Ijzendoorn and Bakermans-Kranenburg (1996) concluded that the distribution of adolescent attachment classifications with respect to mother and father was very similar. On the other, Doyle et al. (2009) found differences in the quality of attachment to mothers and

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

fathers, with adolescents classified as securely attached to mothers and more dismissing and fearful of fathers.

Here it is important to home in on the role of perceived caregiving behaviors in promoting coherence and integration of attachment mental representations (Hesse, 1999). Recent studies have found that securely attached adolescents tended to score lower on measures of perceived negative parenting and were more likely to have stronger relationships with others, considered as a source of support during emotionally loaded situations (Besharat & Khajavi, 2013). Furthermore, low perceived maternal care and parental bonding were related to difficulty with emotion expression and regulation (Fukunishi & Paris, 2001), also markers of insecure attachment.

Despite the different gender-role expectations in adolescence whereby evidence suggests that girls show greater concern with interpersonal relationships and relatedness than boys who stress independence (Doyle et al., 2009), gender differences in attachment have not often been addressed. Some studies reported that girls tended to be more secure and less dismissing than boys (Doyle et al., 2009); however, Ma and Huebner (2008) found no gender differences in attachment to parents but highlighted that girls showed higher security scores to peers than boys. Interestingly, using self-report measures of attachment, Buist et al. (2002) emphasized the importance of both, adolescent and parent genders, in elucidating these relationships whereby the quality of same-sex attachment declined during adolescence, with secure attachment to mother receiving higher scores than attachment to fathers, with the difference more marked for girls than boys.

However, one key issue that continues to attract debate concerns the role of cross-cultural variations in attachment and the potential impact of culture on attachment behaviors. Studies of diverse populations that differ from those in the original attachment studies in infancy (Ainsworth et al., 1978; Main & Solomon, 1990; Waters & Deane, 1985) have shown

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

variations in the distributions of insecure attachment rates, which may indicate positively adaptive maternal and infant behaviors in that specific cultural context (Carlson & Harwood, 2003; Harwood, 2006; Rothbaum et al., 2000). It has been proposed that these cultural differences in attachment styles relate primarily to fundamental cultural differences in parental behaviors. These, in turn, may be influenced by additional factors, such as cultural views, affecting the respective importance of autonomy and independence of the individual, gender-role expectations and whether the society is primarily classified as individualistic or collectivistic (Rothbaum et al., 2000). However, less is known regarding how these same cultural differences affect attachment in adolescence.

The role of peers and adolescent adjustment

Understanding changes in attachment in adolescence is rendered more complicated by the growing influence of peers who tend to become sources of intimacy and feedback about social behavior (Allen, 2008). Laible et al. (2000), using the IPPA-R, concluded that even though parents and peers may serve similar attachment functions, adolescents who scored highly on attachment measures for both relationships showed the best pattern of adjustment, echoing Howes's (1999) argument that being securely attached to more than one attachment figure is more beneficial for development than a single secure relationship. Despite the growing influence of peers, attachment scores to parents and peers have been found to be correlated, whereby the quality of the secure parent-adolescent attachment relationship provides a framework for later peer relationships based on mutuality, trust and communication (Armsden & Greenberg, 1987). In cases of insecure attachment to parents, children tend to internalize negative mental representations of themselves and other relationships (Bowlby, 1973), manifesting in adolescence as low social exploration and a reduced capacity to form new relationships (Allen & Lamb, 1999; Gorrese & Ruggieri, 2012).

Furman and Buhrmester (1992) concluded that the quality of the adolescents' relationship with peers is relatively more influential on adolescent adjustment than attachment to parents. Additional research suggests that parents remain the secure base and the main attachment figure, but that peers fulfilled this role when attachment to parents tended to be less secure (Nickerson & Nagle, 2004). However, in spite of the developmental changes occurring in adolescence and the growing influence of peers, the caregiver's availability and responsiveness to the adolescent preserves a primary importance in influencing security of parent-child attachment (Kobak & Madsen, 2008).

In terms of overall adolescent adjustment, several studies have highlighted a positive association between attachment security, and indicators of psychosocial adjustment in adolescence such as life-satisfaction, psychological adjustment and affective states (Cooper et al., 1998; Laible et al., 2000; Rubin et al., 2004; Vivona, 2000). Scott and colleagues pinpointed the unique role of adolescent attachment security, albeit interrelated with other aspects of the adolescent-parent relationship, in predicting delinquent behaviors (Scott et al., 2011). The authors suggest that these findings could reflect that a secure representation of relationships renders the adolescent more attuned to others' feelings, needs and desires, appreciating differences in opinions, thus lessening the possibility of delinquent and problem behaviors.

The current study

In Lebanon and the Arab world in general, research on attachment remains scarce, with no valid and reliable tools measuring adolescent attachment to parents and peers currently available. The Middle East in general has been described as being home to collectivistic cultures (Buda & Elsayed Elkhoully, 1998; Hofstede, 1983), with the harmony of the society seen as a primordial goal of socialization (Al-Shqerat & Al-Masri, 2001; Dwairy

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

et al., 2006; Qasem et al., 1998). Lebanon, though a Middle Eastern country, is a unique example of heterogeneity in the region as it is a country with 18 distinct communities (Schmid & Riachy, 2003).

In the Arab world, the few attachment studies have focused on adult romantic attachment, using a validated measure of attachment-avoidance and attachment-anxiety, the Experiences in Close Relationships Revised (ECR-R; Fraley et al., 2000), with high scores on either of the two scales being a marker of insecure attachment. One of the differences between the ECR-R and the IPPA-R is that the former does not provide an overall continuous score of attachment security; rather it provides scores on attachment avoidance and attachment anxiety, both markers of attachment in/security, within close relationships (romantic or parental relationship). Studies using the validated Arabic ECR-R (Kazarian & Taher, 2012) support cultural influences, with higher scores on attachment anxiety found in the Arab sample when compared to a Western sample, possibly reflecting a preoccupation with interpersonal relationships in collectivistic cultures. However, one cultural group that is notably absent from this body of research is children and adolescents.

As a first step towards examining attachment patterns and correlates of attachment in the Middle East, the current study sets out to, first, explore the psychometric properties of the Arabic version of the Revised Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment (IPPA-R; Armsden & Greenberg, 1987) and to explore attachment scores in this sample. We will start by running a confirmatory factor analysis using the three-factor model provided by Armsden and Greenberg (1987) and examine the internal consistencies of the IPPA-R scores. Second, we will assess the convergent validity of the IPPA-R and expect to find significant negative correlations with the attachment-avoidance and attachment-anxiety subscales of the ECR-R, reflecting insecure attachment. Third, we will examine construct validity and expect that total scores on the IPPA-R will be negatively correlated to perceived negative parenting and a

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

measure of social maladjustment, namely social difficulties scores. The second aim of this study is to explore attachment patterns in this sample, and we hypothesize that 1) adolescents' scores to both parents and peers, based on the total IPPA-R overall continuous attachment score, will be significantly correlated, but we do not expect significant differences in attachment scores, and 2) Finally, we set out to explore gender differences in adolescent attachment.

Method

Participants

The sample initially consisted of 790 school students. After deletion of age outliers, 765 participants remained, 37.4% of which were boys ($N = 286$) and 46.9% of which were girls ($N = 359$), with 15.7% of participants not answering the gender question. Adolescents were aged between 12 and 18 years ($M = 15.00$, $SD = 1.97$), from grades 6 to 12 (32.3% in elementary grades and 58.7% in secondary grades, with 9% of participants not answering this question). Fifty-three percent of participants were from public schools while the rest were from private schools, from both the capital Beirut and other areas in Lebanon. Parental status was rated based on adolescents' answers on a categorical yes/no question as to whether or not they lived with both parents; 76% reported living with both. The only inclusion criteria besides age was being a fluent Arabic speaker.

Procedure

After receiving the validation approval from the IPPA authors, two certified translators proceeded to the Arabic translation and back translation. Two certified clinical psychologists compared the English versions, leading to some minor changes in the choice of Arabic words used.

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

After receiving approval from the Ethics Institutional Review Board, data collection began within elementary and secondary classrooms from 11 schools, 4 private and 7 public. First, the researchers explained the study objectives to the school principals who signed the consent upon agreement. Parents read the information sheet and gave approval regarding their child's participation. Students whose parents gave consent signed an assent form before filling the booklet. Questionnaires were distributed during class time, requiring approximately 25 minutes to fill out. Researchers were present during data collection to answer participants' questions.

Measures

The *Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment-Revised* (IPPA-R; Armsden & Greenberg, 1987) is a 5-point Likert scale self-report questionnaire initially devised to assess the quality of adolescents' attachment relationships to parents and peers. The revised version contains 25 items for each of mother, father, and peers, thus yielding 3 continuous attachment scores. Items can also be sorted in 3 main subscales: Degree of *Mutual Trust* (10 items, "My mother respects my feeling"), Quality of *Communication* (9 items, "I like to get my mother's point of view on things I'm concerned about") and Extent of *Anger and Alienation* (6 items, "I get upset a lot more than my mother knows about"). Greenberg and Armsden (2009) suggest using the revised version when possible, with Cronbach's Alpha of $\alpha = .87$ for attachment to mother, $\alpha = .89$ for father and $\alpha = .92$ for peers. Test-retest reliabilities were .93 for parent attachment and .86 for peers in a sample of young adults. Concurrent validity was established as higher attachment scores were related to less conflict with parents and less adolescent loneliness (Armsden, 1986). Discriminant validity was established as IPPA scores distinguished delinquents from non-delinquents among 12- to 17-year-olds (Redondo et al., 1986).

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

The *Experiences in Close Relationships-Revised* (ECR-R; Fraley et al., 2000) assesses self-reported attachment anxiety and avoidance in emotionally intimate relationships, including 36 items each rated on a 7-point scale. Each subscale is scored by computing the average of answers of 18 items. Initially this scale was devised enquire about romantic relationships; however, Fraley and colleagues explain that it can be used to measure avoidance and anxiety within parental relationships as well (<http://labs.psychology.illinois.edu/~rcfraley/measures/ecrr.htm>). Therefore, for this study, sample items include “I don’t like telling my parents how I feel deep down inside” for attachment avoidance and “I’m worried that my parents might want to leave me” for attachment anxiety. The Arabic ECR-R has been validated in the Lebanese context. Hijazi (2004) reported high internal consistencies for the anxious and avoidant dimensions of the Arabic ECR-R ($\alpha = .84$ and $\alpha = .86$, respectively), and an inter-correlation of $r = .26, p < .01$ with the Arabic CES-D. The two subscales were found to correlate minimally at .03 in Kazarian (2003), at .05 in Kazarian and Martin (2004), and to correlate higher at .42 in Sibley and Liu (2004). Given that participants were required to answer IPPA attachment questions separately for mother, father and peer, questions of the ECR-R in this study were asked in a generalized manner about parents in order to answer the questions once. In this sample, internal consistencies were of $\alpha = .82$ for the attachment-anxiety scale and $\alpha = .61$ for the attachment-avoidance scale, and the two subscales were found to be significantly correlated at .48.

The *Measure of Parental Style* (MOPS; Parker et al., 1997) was used to assess perceived negative parenting. There are 15 statements in total (e.g. “is overprotective of me”, “ignored me”), scored once about each caregiver on a scale from 1 to 4, the sum of which provides two total scores reflecting the level of negative parenting experienced by

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

adolescents, one for each parent. Lower scores reflect lower perceived negative parenting. Internal reliabilities for this sample were high with $\alpha = .90$ for mother and $\alpha = .91$ for father.

The *Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire* (SDQ – Child version; Goodman, 1997) is a brief behavioral screening questionnaire for 11- to 18-year-olds. The 25-item questionnaire assesses five behavioral traits, four of these relating to problem behaviors (Conduct Problems, Emotional Problems, Hyperactivity-Inattention and Peer Problems), each consisting of the sum of scores of 5 items, and one relating to a strength behavior (Prosocial Behavior). The problem behaviors subscale, Total Difficulties, includes statements such as “I am easily distracted” and “I am usually on my own”. The SDQ is extensively supported, with a good internal consistency of .73 and test-retest reliability of .62 (Goodman, 2001). The validated Arabic version of the SDQ is provided on the author’s website to be freely used. For this study, we only used the Total Difficulties scale, with an internal consistency of $\alpha = .81$.

Statistical Analysis

First, the distribution of key variables was inspected visually using the qq plots and statistically using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Since normality was not achieved, a confirmatory factor analysis based on the maximum likelihood estimation with the Satorra-Bentler correction and robust standard errors was conducted to test the three-factor theoretical structure of the IPPA-R, which we call Model Zero (M0). Several robust fit indices were used to assess CFA model fit: the root-mean-squared error of approximation (RMSEA), the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), the comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the chi-square test statistic. Hu and Bentler (1999) established cutoff criteria as follows: CFI and TLI should be greater than 0.90, SRMR less than 0.08 and a RMSEA below 0.05, noting that Steiger (2007) relaxed this threshold to 0.07. Next, the resulting factor loadings of M0 were inspected. A modified model (M1) was created by

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

removing items with a factor loading below .400. Models were compared by the chi-square difference test, whereby a model is judged better than the other if the former significantly reduces the chi-square statistic.

Convergent and construct validity were established by examining correlations between the IPPA-R scores and other relevant measures. In addition, Spearman intercorrelations between IPPA-R scores were investigated, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction.

Confirmatory factor analysis for measurement invariance across gender was investigated over four hierarchical levels: the first is configural invariance testing the suitability of the global structure across groups and is considered as the baseline model; the second is metric invariance and tests whether factor loadings are equal across groups; the third is scalar invariance testing equality in factor loadings and intercepts across groups; and the fourth and highest level of invariance is strict invariance and tests whether the measurement at the item level is identical. Strict invariance being too strict to achieve in practice, scalar invariance remains the commonly accepted pre-requisite for mean comparisons. Evaluating invariance from one level to another is done by comparing each model to the previous one and was assessed using the recommendations by Chen 2007. The criteria for testing invariance are having a difference in CFI > -0.01 , a difference in RMSEA < 0.015 , and a difference in SRMR less than 0.03 for loading invariance and less than 0.01 for intercept or residual invariance. When at least scalar invariance was achieved, independent samples t-tests were conducted to study gender differences in the IPPA-R scores. All statistical analyses were done using the software R version 4.0.3.

Results

Confirmatory factor analyses

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

This study set to investigate the psychometric properties of the Arabic version of the IPPA-R. The confirmatory factor analysis showed that the theoretical three-factor model of the IPPA-R Mother and IPPA-R Father had satisfactory fit indices, nevertheless some items had very low or negative loadings on their corresponding theoretical factor. These items, specifically having loadings less than .400, were excluded, resulting in a modified model called M1. The items that were removed from both parental forms are: item 3 “I wish I had a different parent”, item 6 “I feel it’s no use letting my feelings show around my parent”, item 8 “Talking over my problems with my parent makes me feel ashamed or foolish”, item 9 “My parent expects too much from me”, item 14 “My parent has his own problems, so I don’t bother him with mine”, and item 23 “My parent doesn’t understand what I’m going through these days”. Additional reliability analyses showed that deleting these items increased the global Cronbach’s alpha of the maternal and parental forms from .70 and .66 respectively to .82 and .85. The resulting parental scales contained 19 items, with Cronbach's alpha ranging between 0.57 and 0.90 (Table 1).

Concerning the IPPA-R Peers, the first CFA showed satisfactory fit for the three-factor model with a very good overall Cronbach’s alpha of .84. Further investigation of the loadings suggested a modified model M1 without the following items: item 4 “Talking over my problems with my friends makes me feel ashamed or foolish”, item 9 “I feel the need to be in touch with my friends more often”, item 10 “My friends don’t understand what I’m going through these days”, and item 22 “I get upset a lot more than any friends know about”. As a result, Cronbach's alpha increased to 0.89. The resulting peer scale contained 21 items (Table 1).

Table 1. Cronbach’s alpha levels

	Cronbach's alpha	Number of items
IPPA-R Mother	0.82	19

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

IPPA-R Mother Communication	0.85	7
IPPA-R Mother Trust	0.85	8
IPPA-R Mother Alienation	0.57	4
IPPA-R Father	0.85	19
IPPA-R Father Communication	0.90	7
IPPA-R Father Trust	0.90	8
IPPA-R Father Alienation	0.65	4
IPPA-R Peers	0.89	21
IPPA-R Peers Communication	0.87	8
IPPA-R Peers Trust	0.90	10
IPPA-R Peers Alienation	0.62	3

Models M0 and M1 were compared via the chi-square difference test. Table 2 shows that the modified model M1 outperformed the theoretical model M0 for the parental and peer forms.

Table 2. Confirmatory factor analyses for the theoretical three-factor structure (M0) and the modified one (M1) for each of the three IPPA-R forms.

	Model	RMSEA (95% CI)	CFI	TLI	SRMR	χ^2 (df)	Model Comparison	$\Delta \chi^2$ (df)
IPPA-Mother	M0	.046 (.042,.051)	0.919	0.911	0.049	616.93 (272)	M0 - M1	304.88 (123)
	M1	.044 (.038,.052)	0.954	0.947	0.040	315.54 (149)		
IPPA-Father	M0	.060 (.056,.065)	0.909	0.900	0.054	858.70 (272)	M0 - M1	407.39 (123)
	M1	.060 (.054,.067)	0.945	0.937	0.041	452.67 (149)		
IPPA-Peers	M0	.055 (.050,.060)	0.920	0.912	0.056	731.34 (272)	M0 - M1	203.70 (86)
	M1	.059 (.053,.065)	0.935	0.926	0.047	522.73 (186)		

Note. All the conducted chi-square difference tests showed to be significant.

Convergent and construct validity

To explore the convergent validity of the Arabic IPPA-R, we ran Spearman correlations between each of the IPPA-R (total scores and the three subscales) and a similar

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

measure of the attachment construct, the Experiences in Close Relationships-Revised (ECR-R). Significant correlations were found between the two attachment measures (Table 3).

Construct validity was investigated, looking for correlations between IPPA-R scores (and its subscales) and MOPS and SDQ Difficulties scores (Table 3). Significant correlations were found between IPPA-R Mother and MOPS Mother, $r(586) = -.32, p < .001$ and between IPPA-R Father and MOPS Father, $r(586) = -.25, p < .001$. No significant correlations were found between the IPPA-R and the SDQ.

Table 3. Correlations between IPPA-R and its subscales, ECR-R, MOPS, and SDQ scores

	ECR-R Anxiety	ECR-R Avoidance	MOPS Mother	MOPS Father	Total Difficulties
IPPA-R Mother	-0.22	-0.58	-0.32	-0.25	0.03
IPPA-R Mother Communication	-0.25	-0.63	-0.35	-0.29	0.02
IPPA-R Mother Trust	-0.32	-0.60	-0.41	-0.33	0.00
IPPA-R Mother Alienation	0.33	0.44	0.40	0.34	0.04
IPPA-R Father	-0.23	-0.51	-0.20	-0.33	-0.03
IPPA-R Father Communication	-0.26	-0.54	-0.24	-0.35	-0.03
IPPA-R Father Trust	-0.32	-0.52	-0.31	-0.47	-0.06
IPPA-R Father Alienation	0.38	0.42	0.38	0.49	0.06
IPPA-R Peers	-0.16	-0.24	-0.19	-0.18	0.00
IPPA-R Peers Communication	-0.15	-0.21	-0.19	-0.16	0.03
IPPA-R Peers Trust	-0.25	-0.31	-0.25	-0.23	-0.07
IPPA-R Peers Alienation	0.32	0.29	0.27	0.22	0.09

Measurement invariance and gender comparisons

Measurement invariance was conducted using confirmatory factor analysis to test similarity of the IPPA-R structure across gender. Four models were constructed and compared. First, the configural model with the same suggested structure M1 for each gender,

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

the second is the metric model constraining loadings on factors across gender, the third is the scalar model constraining loadings and intercepts across gender, the fourth and last is the strict model constraining loadings, intercepts, and residuals across gender. Comparison of models showed a change in CFI above -0.01, as well as changes in RMSEA below 0.015 and in SRMR below 0.03 for loading invariance and below 0.01 for intercept and residual invariance, except in the strict vs scalar comparison in the case of the IPPA-R peers. As a conclusion, the highest form of measurement invariance, which is strict invariance, was established for the parental forms, while only scalar invariance was achieved in the case of the IPPA-R peers. Partial strictness was investigated to adjust for non-invariant items and was not achieved even after freeing more than 50% of item estimates. Results of model comparisons can be found in Table 4.

Table 4. *Measurement invariance of the MI structure across gender for each of the three forms of the IPPA-R*

	Model	RMSEA	95% CI	CFI	SRMR	Model comparison	Δ RMSEA	Δ CFI	Δ SRMR
IPPA-R									
Mother	Configural	0.052	0.044,0.060	0.942	0.047				
						metric -	0.001	-	0.013
	Metric	0.053	0.045,0.061	0.936	0.060	config		0.006	
						scalar -	0.003	-	0.002
	Scalar	0.056	0.048,0.063	0.926	0.062	metric		0.010	
IPPA-R						strict -	-0.002		0.002
	Strict	0.054	0.046,0.062	0.926	0.064	scalar		0.000	
Father	Configural	0.063	0.050,0.070	0.942	0.046				
						metric -	-0.001	-	0.010
	Metric	0.062	0.055,0.070	0.940	0.056	config		0.002	
						scalar -	0.002	-	0.002
	Scalar	0.064	0.057,0.071	0.934	0.058	metric		0.006	
IPPA-R						strict -	-0.001	-	0.001
	Strict	0.063	0.056,0.070	0.931	0.059	scalar		0.003	

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

IPPA-R Peers	Configural	0.062	0.055,0.070	0.927	0.052				
	Metric	0.063	0.056,0.070	0.923	0.065	metric - config	0.001	-	0.013
	Scalar	0.061	0.054,0.068	0.923	0.065	scalar - metric	-0.002	0.004	0.000
	Strict	0.071	0.064,0.077	0.892	0.069	strict - scalar	0.010	-	0.004
								0.031	

Given that scalar invariance was achieved for the three forms of the IPPA-R, we conducted independent samples t-test to study gender differences in adolescent attachment. Boys and girls did not score significantly differently on attachment to mother, $t(610.6) = 0.40$, $p = 0.69$, nor to father, $t(612.9) = 0.26$, $p = 0.79$. However, girls ($M = 78.42$, $SD = 12.68$) scored significantly higher than boys ($M = 71.72$, $SD = 12.77$) on overall IPPA-R Peer, with $t(579.8) = 6.27$, $d = 0.50$. Boys ($M = 3.05$, $SD = 1.13$) scored significantly higher than girls ($M = 2.76$, $SD = 1.27$) on ECR-R Avoidance, with $t(6245) = 3.05$, $d = 0.24$. No significant gender differences were obtained on the ECR-R Anxiety factor ($p = 0.15$) (Table 5).

Table 5. Descriptive Statistics and independent samples t-tests across gender

	Boys M \pm SD	Girls M \pm SD	T-test	p
IPPA				
Attachment-mother	67.32 (\pm 10.58)	67.65 (\pm 10.56)	0.40	0.69
Communication- Mother	26.59 (\pm 6.03)	26.86 (6.44)	0.55	0.59
Trust-mother	33.08 (6.03)	33.30 (5.85)	0.48	0.63
Alienation-mother	7.65 (3.08)	7.50 (2.95)	0.66	0.51
Attachment-father	62.93 (12.77)	62.66 (12.86)	0.26	0.79
Communication-father	24.10 (7.38)	23.11 (7.65)	1.67	0.10
Trust-father	30.72 (7.52)	31.60 (7.55)	1.48	0.14
Alienation-father	8.11	7.95	0.58	0.56

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

	(3.60)	(3.40)		
Attachment-peers	71.72 (4.07)	78.42 (12.68)	6.27	< 0.001
Communication-peers	27.72 (7.05)	31.40 (6.68)	6.76	< 0.001
Trust-peers	37.90 (8.55)	41.37 (7.57)	5.39	< 0.001
Alienation-peers	6.11 (2.68)	5.65 (2.61)	2.22	0.03
ECR				
Attachment anxiety	3.33 (0.95)	3.22 (1.08)	1.46	0.15
Attachment avoidance	3.05 (±1.13)	2.76 (±1.27)	3.05	0.002

It was hypothesized that adolescents' scores to both parents and peers will be significantly correlated but that there would not be any significant differences in scores. IPPA-R Mother total scores and IPPA-R Father total scores were found to be positively correlated, with $r = .58$, $p < .001$, and IPPA-R Peers total scores were significantly correlated with IPPA-R Mother scores, with $r = .25$ and IPPA-R Father scores with $r = .26$, $p < .001$ for both. Descriptive statistics of the Arabic IPPA-R revealed that, overall, adolescents scored highest on the Arabic IPPA-R Peer, followed by IPPA-R Mother, with the lowest scores on IPPA-R Father. Differences were significant between IPPA-R Peer ($M = 75.73$, $SD = 13.62$) and Father ($M = 63.42$, $SD = 13.14$), with $t(688) = 19.36$, $d = 0.74$, between IPPA-R Peer and IPPA-R Mother ($M = 67.55$, $SD = 10.65$), $t(737) = 14.34$, $d = 0.5$, and between IPPA-R Mother and Father, with $t(672) = 9.64$, $d = 0.37$.

Discussion

Factor analysis of the Arabic IPPA-R

This study was the first to explore adolescent attachment in the Arab world in a sample of 765 Lebanese adolescents. The main aim was to investigate the psychometric properties of the Arabic version of the IPPA-R, a self-report questionnaire yielding a continuous score of adolescent attachment to mother, father, and peers. CFA results suggest that the three-factor structure of the scale is suitable, with some modifications.

Indeed, some items did not load on the model of attachment to parents and had to be deleted such as question 9, “My mother/father expects too much from me”. This can be understood through a cultural lens, as parents from collectivistic cultures have been found to endorse the authoritarian parenting style, which was found to be positively correlated to group cohesion (Dwairy et al., 2006). Indeed, Hatab and Makki (1998) found that Lebanese adolescents tend to follow their parents’ direction in terms of their perceptions of others, values, and beliefs, reflecting a pattern of mutual interaction which tends to be stable across the lifespan. The deletion of items resulted in a revised Arabic IPPA-R containing 19 items for each of the mother ($\alpha = .82$) and father ($\alpha = .85$) scales.

Factor analysis of the attachment to peers scale revealed that high Cronbach Alpha ($\alpha = .84$) if all 25 questions remained; however, further investigation of the loading led to the modification of the subscale and the removal of 4 items, leading to a higher Cronbach Alpha ($\alpha = .89$). One such item includes question 22, “I get upset a lot more than any friends know about.” It can be argued that this item does not significantly contribute to the overall attachment style as previous research has shown that Lebanese young adults tended to be more avoidant and anxious when compared to their Western counterparts (Kazarian & Taher, 2012). In other words, it can be posited that, from a cultural perspective, individuals are

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

encouraged to downplay some emotions in favor of being preoccupied with the group's needs. This may be associated with a reduced emotional expression, irrespective of the quality of attachment.

Convergent validity of the Arabic IPPA-R

Investigating the convergent validity of the Arabic IPPA-R, negative significant correlations were found between IPPA-R total scores to both parents and ECR-R scores on attachment anxiety and avoidance, suggesting that securely attached adolescents were less likely to be anxious and avoidant within their relationships. Similarly, the ECR-R subscales were found to be negatively correlated with the IPPA-R Trust-Communication parental subscale and positively correlated with the IPPA-R Alienation subscale. This is in line with theories conceptualizing secure attachment as manifested by a valuing of attachment relationships, feeling accepted, an acknowledgement of the impact of separation, a need for comfort and support from attachment figures in times of distress, and an ability to discuss difficult and emotionally-loaded events without being overwhelmed by feelings (Bowlby, 1969; Fonagy et al., 1998; Main et al., 1985).

Correlations between the two questionnaires ranged from low to moderate, in keeping with the idea that, despite both measures assessing attachment, the IPPA-R and the ECR-R tap into different aspects of that construct, with the former looking at the explicit evaluation of the quality of different attachment relationships (Armsden & Greenber, 1987; Greenberg & Armsden, 2009) and the latter focusing on self-reported attachment anxiety and attachment avoidance in relation to the general experience of emotionally intimate relationships (Fraley et al., 2000).

Interestingly and contrary to our expectations, the overall IPPA-R score to peers was not significantly correlated with attachment anxiety. These findings could evoke that peers

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

exert an external influence on adolescents, suggesting that different pathways characterize attachment to parents and attachment to peers. Given that Lebanon has been characterized as a collectivistic culture (Dirani, 2008), it can be posited that having close relationships with peers is assumed and self-evident in this type of culture, therefore, it does not necessarily relate to an anxiety emerging in the context of the parent-adolescent relationship.

Construct validity: Attachment, parenting, and adolescent adjustment

We examined construct validity by exploring the relationship between attachment and another measure of parenting, perceived negative parenting. As expected, findings suggested that the lower adolescents scored on perceived negative parenting, the more likely they were to be secure in their attachment style. This echoes findings associating sensitive parenting with secure attachment in childhood, as sensitive mothers are more likely to be responsive to their children's signals and needs (van Ijzendoorn, 1995). Dix (1991) emphasized the necessity for affective communication from parents seen as promoting more understanding on the part of the adolescent in the processing of messages and intentions, giving them the opportunity to evaluate different points of view. Granic, Dishion and Hollenstein (2006) extended this idea by arguing that parents need to be more flexible and allow adolescents to make their own decisions, trusting them to make the right choices, in order to, with time, and through an appropriate feedback process, give them more confidence and competence.

Surprisingly, no significant correlation was found between the SDQ Total Difficulties scale and the IPPA-R scores, contradicting the literature associating these two measures (Muris & Maas, 2004; Oldfield et al., 2016; Roelofs et al., 2013; Thompson & Gullone, 2008). This could suggest that adolescents' reported social and emotional difficulties in the Lebanese setting could relate to other factors such as mental health problems (Fergusson et

al., 2007; Goodman & Goodman, 2011), family instability (Hadfield et al., 2018; Perales et al., 2017), or the socioeconomic context (Yoshikawa et al., 2012).

Noteworthy is the significant correlation, despite being small, between the SDQ Total Difficulties scale and IPPA-R Father Alienation, as well as IPPA-R Peers Alienation. This could suggest that social difficulties and problem behaviors increase the more alienation and isolation the adolescent perceives from peers and their father. This taps into the different roles played by the various attachment figures during adolescence in predicting social adjustment. However, due to the low correlations, these results should be replicated in future studies.

Adolescent attachment and gender differences

As this study was the first to look at adolescent attachment in Lebanon, its secondary aim was to explore attachment scores in this sample. In line with our expectation, a moderate significant correlation between attachment to mother and attachment to father was found. Investigating differences between attachment to mothers and fathers, contrary to our expectations, we found that scores were significantly different between each of the caregivers, with adolescents scoring highest on the IPPA-R Peers, followed by IPPA-R Mother and the lowest on IPPA-R Father. Our findings revealed high effect sizes for differences between IPPA-R Peers and scores on the parental IPPA-R suggesting the growing influence of peers as sources of intimacy during this stage (Allen, 2008). Blos (1967) argued that the separation from parents can lead to a sensation of aloneness, rendering the adolescent more likely to turn to peers as a source of belonging and comfort, providing support as the adolescent identifies and spends time with people who have shared ideas and goals, enabling him/her to try new roles and identities within the group (Brandt, 1977). More recent findings converge with this theory suggesting that peers are perceived as a source of emotional support and proximity seeking during adolescence, emphasizing the latter construct as conceptualized differently

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

than in attachment measures in infancy (Fraley & Davis, 1997; Gorrese & Ruggieri, 2012; Nickerson & Nagle, 2004). The differences between IPPA-R Mother and IPPA-R Father scores revealed medium effect sizes, tapping in the different perceived roles of each of the parents, with mothers seen as providers of emotional support, essential during this developmental stage (Doyle et al., 2009). It is interesting to further explore this finding to delve deeper into the clinical impact and significance of higher attachment to peers, qualitatively comparing adolescents' responses regarding their perception of their attachment to parents and peers.

Exploring gender differences in attachment scores, no significant differences were found in scores towards parents. However, girls scored significantly higher than boys on attachment to peers solely, in line with previous findings by Ma and Huebner (2008). These results could be interpreted in terms of gender-role expectations developing during adolescence. In fact, girls in our sample were found to be significantly less avoidant than boys, suggesting that men are less comfortable being close to others and engage in emotional discussions. This is in line with cultural factors, whereby men in collectivistic patriarchal cultures are taught to dismiss their feelings whereas women are encouraged to express them (Hijazi, 2004), echoing previous findings in the Arab region depicting adolescent girls as scoring higher than boys on interpersonal intelligence (Alumran & Punamaki, 2008), or making more use of emotion regulation strategies, through seeking social support, as a coping style in stressful events (Hampel & Petermann 2005; Mikulincer et al., 2003). However, it would be interesting for future studies to explore other factors explaining these gender differences, as gender-role expectations have been found to vary based on many factors including patriarchal societies, parents' family roles, and religion among others (Beitin & Aprahamian, 2014). Furthermore, future studies could focus on accounting for the interaction between parent and adolescent gender in further elucidating these findings.

Limitations

Despite the uniqueness of this study, findings should be interpreted in light of some limitations. First, the type of measures used included self-report questionnaires, criticized for providing limited closed-ended options, easily swayed by mood, and solely tackling conscious representations. Furthermore, the MOPS was not previously validated in Arabic, despite high internal consistencies in our sample. Also, the SDQ scale was positioned at the end of the booklet of questionnaires, thus increasing the number of missing data. It would therefore be of interest to replicate these findings. Finally, it would be interesting to conduct further studies replicating our findings with the updated subscales of the Arabic IPPA-R, as well as exploring similarities and differences during the various stages of early, mid and late-adolescence as age has been found to affect attachment security and attachment avoidance (Kazarian & Taher, 2012). In addition, some scholars have classified Lebanon as both an individualistic and collectivistic culture (Dirani, 2008); it would therefore be intriguing to examine cultural orientation, especially in light of globalization and westernization, as well as its impact on Lebanese adolescents' attachment representations. Finally, it would be of interest to explore attachment using interview narratives, delving into the effects of gender roles on emotional expression and attachment coherence, as well as adolescent perceptions and expectations of different attachment figures.

Conclusion

In summary, this study was the first to be conducted in the Arab region exploring attachment security in adolescents, and culturally adapting and validating the Arabic IPPA-R in the Lebanese population. Our results suggest that the Arabic IPPA-R shows good psychometric properties and is a valid tool to be used on Arabic speaking adolescents. Our

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

findings also emphasize the importance of culture in the conceptualization of attachment, namely the operationalization of communication style, trust, and alienation in the context of parental and peer relationships. This study thus provides an initial map of Arab adolescent attachment representations and offers initial directions towards future work delving more in depth into the various cultural influences on the adolescent's construction of internal working models of the mind.

References

- Aasma, Malik, jamil A., & ghulam abbas. (2020). The Translation and Factor Structure of the Inventory for Parent and Peer Attachment- Revised (IPPA-R). *Journal of Pakistan Psychiatric Society*, 17(1). Retrieved from <https://jpps.pk/index.php/journal/article/view/16>
- Ainsworth, M. D., Blehar, M., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of Attachment: A Psychological Study of the Strange Situation*. Psychology Press and Routledge Classic Editions. New York and London.
- Al-Shqerat, M. A., & Al-Masri, A. N. (2001). Al-isaa'a al-laftheyah ded al-atfal [Verbal abuse against children]. *Majallat Al Tofoolah Al Arabiah*, 2(7), 33-45.
- Allen, J. P. (2008). The attachment system in adolescence. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Ed), *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (pp. 419-435). New York, NY: The Guildford Press
- Allen, J., & Lamb, D. (1999). Attachment in adolescence. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver (Ed), *Handbook of Attachment in Adolescence: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (pp. 319-335). New York, NY: Guilford Press
- Alumran, J. I. A., & Punamäki, R.-L. (2008). Relationship between gender, age, academic achievement, emotional intelligence, and coping styles among Bahraini adolescents. *Individual Differences Research*, 6(2), 104–119.
- Armsden, G. G. (1986). Attachment to parents and peers in late adolescence: Relationships to affective status, self-esteem and coping with loss, threat and challenge. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 47(4-B), 1751–1752.

- Armsden, G. C., & Greenberg, M. T. (1987). The Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment: Relationships to well-being in adolescence. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 16, 427-454. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02202939>
- Baumeister, R. F., Bushman, B. J., & Campbell, W. K. (2000). Self-esteem, narcissism, and aggression: Does violence result from low self-esteem or from threatened egotism? *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 9, 26–29. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00053>
- Besharat, M. & Khajavi, Z. (2013). The relationship between attachment styles and alexithymia: Mediating role of self-regulation. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 6, 571-576. doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2013.09.003. Epub 2013 Sep 20.
- Black, K. A., & McCartney, K. (1997). Adolescent Females' Security with Parents Predicts the Quality of Peer Interaction. *Social Development*, 6(1), 91-110. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9507.1997.tb00096.x>
- Blos, P. (1967). The second individuation process of adolescence. *The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child*, 22(1), 162-186. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00797308.1967.11822595>
- Bowlby, J. (Ed.). (1969). *Attachment and Loss* (Vol. 1: Attachment and Loss). New York, NY: Basic Books; & Hogarth Press.
- Bowlby, J. (Ed.). (1973). *Attachment and loss* (Vol. 2: Separation: Anxiety and Anger). New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Brandt, D. E. (1977). Separation and identity in adolescence: Erikson and Mahler—some similarities. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis*, 13(4), 507-518. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00107530.1977.10745512>
- Buda, R., & Elsayed-Elkhouly, S. (1998). Cultural Differences between Arabs and Americans: Individualism-Collectivism Revisited. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 29, 487-492. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022198293006>

- Buist, K. L., Dekovic, M., Meeus, W. & Van Aken, M. (2002). Developmental Patterns in adolescent attachment to mother, father and sibling. *Journal of Youth and adolescence*, 31(3), 167-176. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015074701280>
- Carlson, V. J., & Harwood, R. L. (2003). Attachment, culture, and the caregiving system: The cultural patterning of everyday experiences among Anglo and Puerto Rican mother–infant pairs. *Infant Mental Health Journal: Official Publication of The World Association for Infant Mental Health*, 24(1), 53-73. <https://doi.org/10.1002/imhj.10043>
- Cooper, M., Shaver, P., & Collins, N. (1998) Attachment styles, emotion regulation and adjustment in adolescence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74, 1380-1397. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.74.5.1380>
- Demetriou, C., Charalampous, K., Uzun, B. et al. Validation of the Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment in Cypriot Clinical and non-Clinical Adolescents. *J Child Fam Stud* 31, 2756–2768 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-022-02326-w>
- Dirani, K.M. (2008), "Individualism and collectivism in Lebanon: Correlations with socioeconomic factors and effects on management and human resources practices", Lawler, J.J. and Hundley, G. (Ed.) *The Global Diffusion of Human Resource Practices: Institutional and Cultural Limits (Advances in International Management, Vol. 21)*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Bingley, pp. 211-233. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571-5027\(08\)00009-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571-5027(08)00009-0)
- Dix, T. (1991). The affective organization of parenting: Adaptive and maladaptive processes. *Psychological Bulletin*, 110(1), 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.110.1.3>

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

- Doyle, A. B., Lawford, H., & Markiewicz, D. (2009). Attachment style with mother, father, best friend, and romantic partner during adolescence. *Adolescence*, 19, 690-714. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-7795.2009.00617.x
- Duemmler, S., & Kobak, R. (2001). The development of attachment and commitment in dating relationships: Attachment security as a relationship construct. *Journal of Adolescence*, 24, 401–415. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jado.2001.0406>
- Dwairy, M., Achoui, M., Abouserie, R., Farah, A., Sakhleh, A., Fayad, M., & Khan, H. (2006). Parenting Styles in Arab Societies: A First Cross-Regional Research Study. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 37, 230-247. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022106286922>
- Fang Fang Chen (2007) Sensitivity of Goodness of Fit Indexes to Lack of Measurement Invariance, *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 14:3, 464-504, DOI: 10.1080/10705510701301834
- Fergusson, D. M., Boden, J. M., & Horwood, L. J. (2007). Recurrence of major depression in adolescence and early adulthood, and later mental health, educational and economic outcomes. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 191(4), 335-342. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.107.036079>
- Fonagy, P., Target, M., Steele, H., & Steele, M. (1998). *Reflective Functioning Manual, Version 5.0, for Application to Adult Attachment Interviews*. London: University College London
- Fraley, R. C., & Davis, K. E. (1997). Attachment formation and transfer in young adults' close friendships and romantic relationships. *Personal Relationships*, 4(2), 131-144. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6811.1997.tb00135.x>

- Fraley, R. C., Waller, N. G., & Brennan, K. A. (2000). An item-response theory analysis of self-report measures of adult attachment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78, 350-365. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.78.2.350
- Freeman, H., & Brown, B. (2001). Primary attachment to parents and peers during adolescence: differences by attachment style. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 30, 653-674. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012200511045>
- Fukunish, I. & Paris, W. (2001). Intergenerational associations of alexithymic characteristics for college students and their mothers. *Psychological Reports*, 89, 77-84. DOI: 10.2466/pr0.2001.89.1.77
- Furman, W., & Buhmester, D. (1992). Age and sex differences in perceptions of networks and personal relationships. *Child Development*, 63, 103–115. doi:10.2307/1130905
- Gallarin, M., & Alonso-Arbiol, I. (2013). Dimensionality of the Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment: Evaluation with the Spanish Version. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 16, E55. doi:10.1017/sjp.2013.47
- Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A research note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 38, 581–586. doi:10.1111/j.14697610.1997.tb01545.x/
- Goodman, R. (2001). Psychometric properties of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 40(11), 1337-1345. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-200111000-00015>
- Goodman, A., & Goodman, R. (2011). Population mean scores predict child mental disorder rates: validating SDQ prevalence estimators in Britain. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 52(1), 100-108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02278.x>

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

- Gorrese, A., & Ruggieri, R. (2012). Peer attachment: A meta-analytic review of gender and age differences and associations with parent attachment. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 41, 650-672. doi: 10.1007/s10964-012-9759-6.
- Granic, I., & Patterson, G. R. (2006). Toward a comprehensive model of antisocial development: A dynamic systems approach. *Psychological Review*, 113(1), 101–131. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.113.1.101>
- Greenberg, M., & Armsden, G. (2009). *Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment (IPPA) Manual*. The Pennsylvania State University: Pennsylvania.
- Gullone, E., & Robinson, K. (2005). The Inventory of Parent and Peer Attachment—Revised (IPPA-R) for Children: A Psychometric Investigation. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, 12, 67-79. doi:10.1002/cpp.433
- Hadfield, K., Ungar, M., & Nixon, E. (2018). Rethinking discourses of family instability. *Journal of Family Social Work*, 21(2), 172-187. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10522158.2017.1410268>
- Haigler, V., Day, H., & Marshall, D. (1995). Parental attachment and gender-role identity. *Sex Roles*, 33, 203-220. doi:10.1002/cpp.433
- Hampel, P., & Petermann, F. (2005). Age and gender effects on coping in children and adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 34(2), 73-83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-005-3207-9>
- Harwood, I. (2006). Head start is too late: Integrating and applying infant observation studies, and attachment, trauma, and neurobiological research to groups with pregnant and new mothers. *International journal of group psychotherapy*, 56(1), 5-28. <https://doi.org/10.1521/ijgp.2006.56.1.5>

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

- Hesse, E. (1999). The Adult Attachment Interview: Historical and current perspectives. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research, and Clinical Applications* (pp. 395– 433). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Hijazi, R. M. (2004). *Adult Attachment Styles and Emotional Distress Following Romantic Relationship Dissolution*, Masters Dissertation, Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, AUB.
- Hofstede, G. (1983). National cultures in four dimensions: A research-based theory of cultural differences among nations. *International Studies of Management and Organization*, 13, 52-73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.1983.11656358>
- Howes, C. (1999). Attachment relationships in the context of multiple caregivers. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver, *Handbook of Attachment in Adolescence : Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (pp. 671–687). New York, NY: Guilford Press
- Hu, L.T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 6(1), 1–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705519909540118>
- Jewell, T., Gardner, T., Susi, K., Watchorn, K.E., Coopey, E., Simic, M., Fonagy, P., & Eisler, I. (2019). Attachment measures in middle childhood and adolescence: A systematic review of measurement properties. *Clinical psychology review*, 68, 71-82 .
- Kazarian, S. (2003). Horizontal and vertical varieties of individualism and collectivism and interpersonal relating. *Paper presented at the Sixth Regional Congress of the International Association of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, Budapest, Hungary.
- Kazarian, S., & Martin, R.A. (2004). Humor styles, personality, and wellbeing among Lebanese university students. *European Journal of Personality*, 18, 209-300. doi:10.1002/per.505

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

Kazarian, S., & Taher, D. (2012). Adult attachment styles in a Lebanese community sample:

A brief Arabic Experience in Close Relationships Scale. *The Arab Journal of Psychiatry*, 23, 74-79

Kerns, K. (2008). Attachment in middle childhood. In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver, *Handbook of Attachment in Adolescence: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications* (pp. 366-382). New York, NY: Guilford Press

Kobak, R., & Madsen, S. (2008) Disruptions in attachment bonds: implications for theory research and clinical intervention, In J. Cassidy & P. Shaver. *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research and Clinical Applications*. New York, NY: The Guildford Press.

Kocayörük, E. (2010). A Turkish adaptation of the inventory of parent and peer attachment: The reliability and validity study. *Eğitim Araştırmaları-Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 40, 133-151.

Laible, D. J., Carlo, G., & Raffaelli, M. (2000). The differential relations of parent and peer attachment to adolescent adjustment. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 29, 45- 59.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005169004882>

Lavy, V., Silva, O., & Weinhardt, F. (2012). The good, the bad, and the average: Evidence on ability peer effects in schools. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 30(2), 367-414.
<https://doi.org/10.1086/663592>

Ma, C. Q. & Huebner, E. S. (2008). Attachment relationships and adolescents' life satisfaction: some relationships matter more to girls than boys. *Psychology*, 45(2), 177-190. doi:10.1002/pits.20288

Main, M., Kaplan, N., & Cassidy, J. (1985). Security of infancy, childhood and adulthood: amove to the level of representation. *Growing points of attachment theory and*

- research: Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 50, 66-104.
doi:10.2307/3333827.
- Main, M., & Solomon, J. (1990). Procedures for identifying infants as disorganized/disoriented during the Ainsworth Strange Situation. In M. Greenberg, D. Cicchetti, & M. Cummings (Eds), *Attachment in the preschool years: Theory, Research, and Intervention* (pp. 121-160). The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London.
- Mayseless, O. (2005). Ontogeny of attachment in middle childhood: conceptualization of normative changes. In K. A. Kerns & R. A. Richardson (Eds.), *Attachment in Middle Childhood* (pp. 1-23). New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- Mikulincer, M., Shaver, P. R., & Pereg, D. (2003). Attachment theory and affect regulation: The dynamics, development, and cognitive consequences of attachment-related strategies. *Motivation and Emotion*, 27(2), 77-102.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024515519160>
- Muris, P., & Maas, A. (2004). Strengths and difficulties as correlates of attachment style in institutionalized and non-institutionalized children with below-average intellectual abilities. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*, 34(4), 317-328.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:CHUD.0000020682.55697.4f>
- Nickerson, A. B., & Nagle, R. J. (2004). The influence of parent and peer attachments on life satisfaction in middle childhood and early adolescence. *Social Indicators Research*, 66, 35-60. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SOCI.00000007496.42095.2c>
- Oldfield, J., Humphrey, N., & Hebron, J. (2016). The role of parental and peer attachment relationships and school connectedness in predicting adolescent mental health outcomes. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 21(1), 21-29.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/camh.12108>

- Parker, G., Roussos, J., Hadzi-Pavlovic, D., Mitchell, P., Wilhelm, K., & Austin, M-P. (1997). The development of a refined measure of dysfunctional parenting and assessment of its relevance in patients with affective disorders. *Psychological Medicine*, 27, 1193-1203. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S003329179700545X>
- Perales, F., Johnson, S. E., Baxter, J., Lawrence, D., & Zubrick, S. R. (2017). Family structure and childhood mental disorders: new findings from Australia. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 52(4), 423-433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-016-1328-y>
- Qasem, F. S., Mustafa, A. A., Kazem, N. A., & Shah, N. M. (1998). Attitudes of Kuwaiti parents toward physical punishment of children. *Child abuse & neglect*, 22(12), 1189-1202. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(98\)00097-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(98)00097-0)
- Roelofs, J., Onckels, L., & Muris, P. (2013). Attachment quality and psychopathological symptoms in clinically referred adolescents: The mediating role of early maladaptive schema. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 22(3), 377-385. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-012-9589-x>
- Rosenthal, N., & Kobak, R. (2010). Assessing adolescents' attachment hierarchies: differences across developmental periods and associations with individual adaptation. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 20, 678-706. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-7795.2010.00655.x
- Rothbaum, F., Weisz, J., Pott, M., Miyake, K., & Morelli, G. (2000). Attachment and culture: Security in the United States. *American Psychologist*, 55, 1093-1104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.10.1093>
- Rubin, K., Dwyer, K., Booth-LaForce, C., Kim, A., Burgess, K., & Rose-Krasnor, L. (2004). Attachment, friendship, and psychosocial functioning in early adolescence. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 24, 326-356. doi: 10.1177/0272431604268530

THE VALIDATION OF THE ARABIC IPPA-R

- Ruijten, T., Roelofs, J., & Rood, L. (2011). The mediating role of rumination in the relation between quality of attachment relations and depressive symptoms in non-clinical adolescents. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 20(4), 452-459.
- Schmid, A., & Riachy, R. (2003). Juvenile justice initiatives in Lebanon. *Forum on Crime and Society*, 3(1-2), 105-116.
- Scott, S., Briksman, J., Woolgar, M., Humayun, S., & O'Connor, T.G. (2011). Attachment in adolescence: overlap with parenting and unique prediction of behavioural adjustment. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 52, 1052-1062. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02453.x.
- Sibley, C. G., & Liu, J. H. (2004). Short-term temporal stability and factor structure of the revised experiences in close relationships (ECR-R) measure of adult attachment. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 36(4), 969-975. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(03\)00165-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00165-X)
- Song, H., Thompson, R. A., & Ferrer, E. (2009). Attachment and self-evaluation in Chinese adolescents: Age and gender differences. *Journal of Adolescence*, 32(5), 1267-1286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2009.01.001>
- Steiger, J. H. (2007). Understanding the limitations of global fit assessment in structural equation modeling. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42(5), 893-898. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.09.017>
- Steinberg, L., & Silk, J. (2002). Parenting adolescents. In M.H. Bornstein (Ed). *Handbook of Parenting* (pp. 103-133). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Thompson, K., & Gullone, E. (2008). Prosocial and antisocial behaviors in adolescents: An investigation into associations with attachment and empathy, *Anthrozoös*, 21, 123-137, DOI: 10.2752/175303708X305774

- Van Ijzendoorn, M. H. (1995). Adult attachment representations, parental responsiveness, and infant attachment: a meta-analysis on the predictive validity of the Adult Attachment Interview. *Psychological bulletin*, 117(3), 387. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.3.387>
- Van Ijzendoorn, M. H., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (1996). Attachment representations in mothers, fathers, adolescents, and clinical Groups : A Meta-Analytic Search for Normative Data. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 64, 8-21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.64.1.8>
- Vivona, J. M. (2000). Parental attachment styles of late adolescents: Qualities of attachment relationships and consequences for adjustment. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 47, 316-329. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.47.3.316>
- Waters, E., & Deane, K. E. (1985). Defining and assessing individual differences in attachment relationships: Q-methodology and the organization of behavior in infancy and early childhood. *Monographs of the society for research in child development*, 50, 41-65. DOI: 10.2307/3333826
- Wilkinson, R. (2004). The role of parental and peer attachment in the psychological health and self-esteem of adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 33, 479-493. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOYO.0000048063.59425.20>
- Yearwood, K; Vliegen, N; Luyten, P; Chau, C; Corveleyn, J; (2018) Validation of the quality of relationships inventory in a peruvian sample of adolescents and associations to peer attachment. *Psyke* , 27 (1) , Article 1122. 10.7764/psyke.27.1.1122.
- Yoshikawa, H., Aber, J. L., & Beardslee, W. R. (2012). The effects of poverty on the mental, emotional, and behavioral health of children and youth: Implications for prevention. *American Psychologist*, 67(4), 272–284. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028015>